

# Semigroup equations and B. Plotkin's problem

Artem Shevlyakov

March 11, 2021

## Aims and scope

Our research is related to universal algebraic geometry (UAG). See the papers, books and surveys by B.Plotkin, V. Remeslennikov, A.Miasnikov, E.Daniyarova and other researchers.

We study equations over semigroups and partially solve Plotkin's problem for wreath products of semigroups.

## Languages, terms and atomic formulas. Examples

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{\cdot\}$  be a semigroup language. The examples of  $\mathcal{L}$ -terms are the following:  $x_1x_2$ ,  $x_1x_1$ ,  $x_1x_2x_3x_3x_2x_1$ ,  $\dots$

An  $\mathcal{L}$ -atomic formula ( $\mathcal{L}$ -equation) is an equality of two  $\mathcal{L}$ -terms. The examples of  $\mathcal{L}$ -equations  $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ ,  $x_1x_1 = x_1$ ,  $x_1x_2x_3 = x_4x_4x_4$ ,  $\dots$

# Systems of equations

An arbitrary set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -equations ( $\mathcal{L}$ -atomic formulas) is called a **system**.

NB: we consider system of equations which depend on at most finite number of variables.

The solution set of a system of equations  $\mathbf{S}$  over a semigroup  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{S})$ .

An set  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$  is **algebraic** over  $\mathcal{A}$  if there exists a system of  $\mathcal{L}$ -equations with the solution set  $Y$ .

## Noetherian property (the main type of compactness)

An  $\mathcal{L}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **equationally Noetherian** if any infinite  $\mathcal{L}$ -system  $\mathbf{S}$  is equivalent over  $\mathcal{A}$  to some finite subsystem  $\mathbf{S}' \subseteq \mathbf{S}$ .

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{A}$  is equationally Noetherian iff there is not any infinite chain

$$Y_1 \supset Y_2 \supset \dots \supset Y_n \supset \dots$$

of algebraic sets over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

An  $\mathcal{L}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is  **$q_\omega$ -compact** if for any infinite  $\mathcal{L}$ -system  $\mathbf{S}$  and an equation  $t(X) = s(X)$  such that

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{S}) \subseteq V_{\mathcal{A}}(t(X) = s(X))$$

there exists a finite subsystem  $\mathbf{S}' \subseteq \mathbf{S}$  with

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{S}') \subseteq V_{\mathcal{A}}(t(X) = s(X))$$

Any equationally Noetherian  $\mathcal{L}$ -algebra is  $q_\omega$ -compact.

Notice that B.Plotkin uses the different terminology:

- $q_\omega$ -compact = “logically Noetherian property”;
- “equationally Noetherian” = “geometrically Noetherian”.

# Main question of UAG

## Problem

When a given algebraic structure (semigroup) is equationally Noetherian or  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact?

There are many examples of semigroups from such classes. For example, the famous bicyclic semigroup  $B = \langle a, b \mid ab = 1 \rangle$  is not equationally Noetherian (open problem: is  $B$   $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact?).

# Constants

Let  $S$  be a fixed semigroup. One can define the language

$\mathcal{L}(S) = \{\cdot\} \cup \{s \mid s \in S\}$ .  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ -terms:  $x_1 s_1 x_2 s_2, s_1 x_1 x_2 s_2 \dots$

Similarly, one can define equations, algebraic sets etc. for equations with constants.



For any semigroup  $S$  we have two different notions:

- 1  $S$  is equationally Noetherian in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  (i.e. any system of constant-free equations is equivalent to its finite subsystem);
- 2  $S$  is equationally Noetherian in the language  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  (i.e. any system with constants is equivalent to its finite subsystem).

The similar dichotomy we have for  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compactness:

- 1  $S$  is  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ ;
- 2  $S$  is  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact in the language  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ .

## Facts [see DMR]

- 1  $(S \text{ is equationally Noetherian in the language } \mathcal{L}(S)) \Rightarrow (S \text{ is equationally Noetherian in the language } \mathcal{L});$
- 2 Let  $S$  be finitely generated.  $(S \text{ is equationally Noetherian in the language } \mathcal{L}(S)) \Leftarrow (S \text{ is equationally Noetherian in the language } \mathcal{L});$
- 3  $(S \text{ is } \mathbf{q}_\omega\text{-compact in the language } \mathcal{L}(S)) \Rightarrow (S \text{ is } \mathbf{q}_\omega\text{-compact in the language } \mathcal{L});$

Why  $B = \langle a, b \mid ab = 1 \rangle$  is not equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}$ ? According to the fact from the last slide, it is sufficient to prove that  $B$  is not equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ . Indeed,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} xba = x, \\ xb^2a^2 = x, \\ \dots \\ xb^na^n = x, \\ \dots \end{array} \right.$$

For example, the element  $ba$  is the solution of the 1st equation:  $baba = b1a = ba$ , but does not satisfy the second equation:  $bab^2a^2 = b^2a^2 \neq ba$ . More generally, the element  $b^na^n$  satisfies the first  $n$  equations, but does not satisfy the  $(n+1)$ -th equation. Thus,  $B$  is not equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ .

Why  $B$  is not equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}$ ? We may replace constants to variables ( $B$  is f.g.)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} xba = x, \\ xb^2a^2 = x, \\ \dots \\ xb^na^n = x, \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} xyz = x, \\ xy^2z^2 = x, \\ \dots \\ xy^nz^n = x, \\ \dots \end{array} \right.$$

# Plotkin's problem for wreath products

## Problem

When the wreath product of two groups  $A, B$  is

- 1 equationally Noetherian;
- 2  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact, but not equationally Noetherian.
- 3 not  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact.

B. I. Plotkin “Problems in algebra inspired by universal algebraic geometry”, J. Math. Sci., 139:4 (2006), 6780–6791

We study this problem for **semigroups**. The wreath products of semigroups is an important object which plays a central role in Krohn-Rhodes theory of finite semigroups.

## Wreath products for semigroups

Let  $A, B$  be semigroups. The **direct power**  $A^B = \prod_{b \in B} A$  of  $A$  with the index set  $B$  is the set of all tuples

$$(a_b \mid b \in B), a_b \in A$$

indexed by elements of  $B$ . The semigroup  $A^B$  admits the coordinate-wise multiplication

$$(a_b \mid b \in B) \cdot (a'_b \mid b \in B) = (a_b a'_b \mid b \in B).$$

Let us give the definition of the **wreath product**  $A \wr B$  of two semigroups  $A, B$ . Since in our studies the second semigroup  $B$  is always commutative, we treat  $B$  below as a semigroup of the additive language  $\mathcal{L}_+ = \{+\}$ .

The *wreath product*  $C = A \wr B$  of two semigroups  $A, B$  is a set of all pairs

$$\{(\mathbf{a}, b) \mid \mathbf{a} \in A^B, b \in B\}.$$

The multiplication in  $A \wr B$  is defined as follows. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_b \mid b \in B) \in A^B$ ,  $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_b \mid b \in B) \in A^B$ , then

$$(\mathbf{a}, b_1)(\mathbf{a}', b_2) = (\mathbf{a}'', b_1 + b_2), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}'' = (a''_b \mid b \in B)$ ,  $a''_b = a_b a'_{b+b_1}$ .

## Examples of multiplication in wreath products

Let  $C = A \wr B$ ;  $A$  has a zero, and  $B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the additive cyclic semigroup.

We have

$$[(a_1, 0, 0, \dots), 1][(0, a_2, 0, 0, \dots), 1] = [(a_1 a_2, 0, 0, \dots), 2].$$

In particular, the product

$$[(a_1, 0, 0, \dots), n][\underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, a_2, 0, 0, \dots)}_m, 1]$$

equals  $[(0, 0, 0, \dots), n + 1]$  if  $n \neq m$ .



## We use this fact in our proofs

Let  $C = A \wr B$ ;  $A$  has a zero, and  $B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the additive cyclic semigroup. The elements

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = [(\dots), n_1], \mathbf{a}_2 = [(\dots), n_2], \dots, \mathbf{a}_k = [(\dots), n_k]$$

has zero entries for all indexes  $> (k - 1)$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k = [(0, 0, 0, \dots), n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k]$ .

## Proof

We have  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k > k$  in  $B$ , so all nonzero entries in  $\mathbf{a}_k$  are annihilated by the shifts.

## Wreath products in groups. Results

If  $A, B$  are groups, then  $C = A \wr B$  is a group, and we already have several results for equations over wreath products in groups. Groups are considered in the language  $\mathcal{L}_{gr} = \{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$ .

**Result (G.Baumslag, A.Miasnikov, V.Roman'kov)**

If  $A$  is non-abelian and  $B$  is infinite then  $C = A \wr B$  is not equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}_{gr}(C)$ . Reason: an infinite chain of centralizers in  $C$ .

## Open problems

When  $C = A \wr B$  is equationally Noetherian in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  for abelian  $A$ ?  
N.Romanovskii and Ch.Gupta (2007) positively solved this problem for solvable  $B$  of a certain type and a finitely generated (with small restriction) abelian  $A$ . This result deals with the language  $\mathcal{L}_{gr}(C)$

In [A.Sh.,M.Shahryari “Direct products, varieties, and compactness conditions”] it was proved that an infinite direct power of a non-abelian group  $G$  is not  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact in  $\mathcal{L}(\Pi G)$ . When a wreath product  $C = A \wr B$  with non-abelian  $A$  is not  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact?

## Solving the equation $xy = yx$ over $C = A \wr B$ , $B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

Any variable is actually a pair  $x = [(x_1, x_2, \dots), \chi]$ ,  $y = [(y_1, y_2, \dots), \omega]$ .  
Any equation over wreath product is splitted into two expressions:

$$(x_1 y_{\chi+1}, x_2 y_{\chi+2}, \dots) = (y_1 x_{\omega+1}, y_2 x_{\omega+2}, \dots)$$

$$\chi + \omega = \omega + \chi.$$

The second equation is trivial. Thus, we obtain the infinite number of equations over  $A$ :

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_{\chi+1} = y_1 x_{\omega+1}, \\ x_2 y_{\chi+2} = y_2 x_{\omega+2}, \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

with variable indexes  $\chi, \omega$

## Theorem A

Suppose a semigroup  $A$  contains zero and a semigroup  $B$  is infinite cyclic. The wreath product  $C = A \wr B$  is equationally Noetherian iff  $A$  is nilpotent (i.e. there exists a number  $n$  such that any product of  $n$  elements equals  $0 \in A$ ).

The “if” part is obvious. If  $C$  is nilpotent any sufficiently long  $\mathcal{L}$ -term  $t(X)$  equals  $t(P) = [(0, 0, 0, \dots), *]$  for every  $P \in C^n$ . Thus, equations with long parts are degenerated into a true identities.

## Idea of the proof

Let us show the proof of the “only if” part.

Consider an infinite system of constant-free equations

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{cases} x_1 x_3 = x_4 x_6, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 = x_4 x_5 x_6, \\ x_1 x_2^2 x_3 = x_4 x_5^2 x_6, \\ x_1 x_2^3 x_3 = x_4 x_5^3 x_6, \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathbf{S}_n$  be the first  $n$  equations of  $\mathbf{S}$ .

Since  $A$  is not nilpotent, there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$  with  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \neq 0$ . Define a point  $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_6) \in \Pi^6$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p}_1 &= (a_1, 0, 0, \dots), \\ \mathbf{p}_2 &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \\ \mathbf{p}_3 &= (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n+1 \text{ times}}, a_{n+1}, 0, 0, \dots), \\ \mathbf{p}_4 &= \mathbf{p}_5 = \mathbf{p}_6 = (0, 0, \dots).\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $P = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in B^6$ ,  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$ .

One can directly prove that all equations of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  are satisfied by the given point.

Let us take the  $(n + 1)$ -th equation of  $S_A$ :

$$x_1 x_2^{n+1} x_3 = x_4 x_5^{n+1} x_6$$

For this equation the point  $(\mathbf{P}, P)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1(\mathbf{p}_2) \sigma_2(\mathbf{p}_2) \dots \sigma_n(\mathbf{p}_2) \sigma_{n+1}(\mathbf{p}_3) &= (a_1, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &\dots \\ &= (a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (a_{n+1}, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_{n+1}, 0, 0, \dots) \neq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the system  $\mathbf{S}$  is not equivalent to its finite subsystems.



## Theorem B.

The wreath product  $C = A \wr B$  of two semigroups  $A, B$  is  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact if  $A$  contains a zero and  $B$  is infinite cyclic.

Interesting property: a semigroup  $A$  may be not  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact, but the whole wreath product  $C = A \wr B$  is  $\mathbf{q}_\omega$ -compact.